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## Classical and bilinear quadratures

Classical quadrature (approximates linear functionals):

$$
\int_{\Omega} f(x) d \mu=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
w_{1} & \ldots & w_{n}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
f\left(x_{1}\right) \\
\vdots \\
f\left(x_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$
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$$
\int_{\Omega} f(x) g(x) d \mu=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
f\left(y_{1}\right) & \ldots & f\left(y_{m}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
w_{11} & \ldots & w_{1 n} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
w_{m 1} & \ldots & w_{m n}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
g\left(x_{1}\right) \\
\vdots \\
g\left(x_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

- Useful for finite element/Galerkin method.
- Previous work: Boland and Duris (1972), McGrath (1979), Gribble (1980), Chen (2012)


## Advantages

- $f$ 's and $g$ 's can belong to different spaces.
- Can do any continuous bilinear form, e.g.

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{H^{1}}=\int_{\Omega} f g+D u \cdot D v, \quad\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{\Omega} f g+\int_{\partial \Omega} f g .
$$

Very useful in Galerkin methods!


- The right method for computing an orthogonal projection onto a fixed function space.

Looking for a bilinear quadrature
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- Approximate coefficients of $\operatorname{Proj}_{X_{0}}(\phi)$ using matvec $F(\mathbf{x})^{T} W \phi(\mathbf{x})$
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## Nonlinear optimization

- Find points x by minimizing an objective. Inspired by Chen, Rokhlin, and Yarvin (1999), Bremer, Gimbutas, Rokhlin (2010), Xiao and Gimbutas (2010)
- Choose orthonormal set $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{\rho}\right\}$ orthogonal to $X_{0}$ and minimize the pairing with $X_{0}$.

$$
G(\mathbf{x})=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
g_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) & \ldots & g_{p}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
g_{1}\left(x_{n}\right) & \ldots & g_{p}\left(x_{n}\right)
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then must solve

$$
\text { Find } \mathbf{x}, W \text { minimizing }\left\|F(\mathbf{x})^{\top} W G(\mathbf{x})\right\|_{2} \text { subject to } F(\mathbf{x})^{T} W F(\mathbf{x})=I_{k}
$$

- Don't have access to derivatives. Use a quasi-Newton method (e.g. BFGS).
- Need many initial guesses to get close to the minimum.


## Connection with familiar classical quadratures

## Theorem

Given:

- n-point bilinear quadrature on an interval,
- exact for $L^{2}$ inner products on $\mathbb{P}_{n-1} \times \mathbb{P}_{n-1}$,
- minimized over degree $n$ polynomials, then $W=\operatorname{diag}($ Gauss weights) and $\mathbf{x}$ are the Gauss points from Gaussian quadrature!
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## Theorem

Given:

- n-point bilinear quadrature on $[0,2 \pi]$, $n$ odd,
- exact for $L^{2}$ inner products on $T_{(n-1) / 2} \times T_{(n-1) / 2}$,
- minimized over $\operatorname{span}\left\{\sin \frac{n+1}{2} x, \cos \frac{n+1}{2} x\right\}$,
then $W=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{2 \pi}{n}, \ldots, \frac{2 \pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{n}\right)$ and $\mathbf{x}$ are uniformly spaced points on $[0,2 \pi]$ !


## Two numerical results




The nodes of 28 -point bilinear quadratures on $\mathbb{P}_{6} \times \mathbb{P}_{6}$, minimized against $\mathbb{P}_{7}$, for both the triangle and the square.
Notice symmetry!

## Accuracy

- Compare with two very good 28 -point classical quadratures on triangles.
- Project onto $\mathbb{P}_{6}$.
- Calculate relative 2-norm error in projection coefficients for random functions from four different spaces.

|  | $\mathbb{P}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{P}_{6}$ | Cauchy | Trig |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dunavant (1985) | $9.38 \mathrm{e}-14$ | $3.97 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $4.96 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $9.12 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
| Xiao et al. $(2010)$ | $3.29 \mathrm{e}-15$ | $2.73 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.91 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $4.76 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
| Bilinear | $3.92 \mathrm{e}-15$ | $3.99 \mathrm{e}-15$ | $6.70 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $1.71 \mathrm{e}-03$ |



## Conclusions

Advantages:

- Ideal for computing orthogonal projections.
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Disadvantages

- A bilinear quadrature does less than a general-purpose classical quadrature.
- Expensive to construct; optimization is slow.

